

# The Grothendieck ring of stacks

Federico Scavia

University of British Columbia

July 30, 2021

# The Grothendieck ring of varieties

Let  $k$  be a field. In a 1966 letter to Serre, Grothendieck gave the following definition.

## Definition (Grothendieck)

Let  $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$  be the abelian group generated by isomorphism classes  $\{X\}$  of  $k$ -schemes of finite type  $X$ , modulo the scissor relations  $\{X\} = \{Y\} + \{X \setminus Y\}$  for every closed embedding  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ .

We make  $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$  into a commutative ring with identity:

$$\{X\} \cdot \{Y\} := \{X \times_k Y\}, \quad 1 = \{\text{Spec } k\}.$$

We denote  $\mathbb{L} := \{\mathbb{A}^1\}$  (the Lefschetz class).

## Example

If  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  is a vector bundle of rank  $r \geq 0$ , then  $\pi$  is Zariski-locally a product with  $\mathbb{A}^r$ , hence  $\{E\} = \mathbb{L}^r \{X\}$ .

Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic  $k$ -group acting on a  $k$ -scheme of finite type  $X$ . The action is not necessarily free.

Then there exists a quotient stack  $[X/G]$ , and the projection  $X \rightarrow [X/G]$  is a  $G$ -torsor (i.e. a principal  $G$ -bundle).

If  $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$ , we get the *classifying stack*  $BG := [\operatorname{Spec} k/G]$ .

Open/closed substacks of  $[X/G]$  all have the form  $[Y/G]$ , where  $Y \subseteq X$  is open/closed and  $G$ -invariant.

## Definition (Ekedahl, 2009)

We define  $K_0(\text{Stacks}_k)$  as the abelian group generated by isomorphism classes  $\{\mathcal{X}\}$  of algebraic  $k$ -stacks of finite type  $\mathcal{X}$  (with affine stabilizers), modulo the scissor relations  $\{\mathcal{X}\} = \{\mathcal{Y}\} + \{\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}\}$  for every closed embedding  $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , and the vector bundle relations  $\{\mathcal{E}\} = \{\mathbb{A}_k^r \times_k \mathcal{X}\}$  for every vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of rank  $r \geq 0$ .

We make  $K_0(\text{Stacks}_k)$  into a commutative ring with identity:

$$\{\mathcal{X}\} \cdot \{\mathcal{Y}\} := \{\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y}\}, \quad 1 = \{\text{Spec } k\}.$$

## Example

Vector bundles on  $BG$  are of the form  $[V/G]$ , where  $V$  is a  $G$ -representation. We have  $\{[V/G]\} = \mathbb{L}^{\dim V} \{BG\}$ .

Here are some examples of computations of  $\{BG\}$ .

## Example

Let  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ . Let  $\mathbb{G}_m$  act on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  by scalar multiplication. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}\{B\mathbb{G}_m\} &= \{[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]\} = \{[(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0)/\mathbb{G}_m]\} + \{B\mathbb{G}_m\} = 1 + \{B\mathbb{G}_m\} \\ &\Rightarrow \{B\mathbb{G}_m\}(\mathbb{L} - 1) = 1 \Rightarrow \{B\mathbb{G}_m\} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{-1} = \{\mathbb{G}_m\}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

## Example

Let  $G = \mu_n = \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^n - 1)$  act on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  by scalar multiplication. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}\{B\mu_n\} &= \{[\mathbb{A}^1/\mu_n]\} = \{B\mu_n\} + \{[(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0)/\mu_n]\} = \{B\mu_n\} + \mathbb{L} - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow (\mathbb{L} - 1)\{B\mu_n\} = (\mathbb{L} - 1) \Rightarrow \{B\mu_n\} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (Ekedahl)

*The canonical ring homomorphism  $K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Stacks}_k)$  induces an isomorphism*

$$K_0(\text{Stacks}_k) \cong K_0(\text{Var}_k)\left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}, \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}^n - 1} : n \geq 1\right].$$

## Example

$$\{B \text{GL}_n\} = \{\text{GL}_n\}^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{L}^n - \mathbb{L}^i)^{-1}.$$

$$\{[X/\text{GL}_n]\} = \{X\}\{\text{GL}_n\}^{-1}.$$

## Definition

We say that  $BG$  is stably rational if for some generically free  $G$ -representation  $V$ , the rational quotient  $V/G$  is stably rational (i.e.  $V/G \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^r \sim_{\text{bir}} \mathbb{A}_k^s$  for some  $r, s \geq 0$ ).

This definition does not depend on the choice of  $V$ . It is closely related to Noether's problem (rationality of fields of invariants).

Many examples and results indicate a strict relation between the stable rationality of  $BG$  and the equality  $\{BG\} = 1$  ( $G$  finite) or  $\{BG\}\{G\} = 1$  ( $G$  connected).

For finite  $G$ , both conditions imply vanishing of the unramified Brauer group of  $k(V)^G$ ,  $V$  any faithful representation of  $G$ .

## Question

1) (Ekedahl) If  $G$  is finite, is it true that  $\{BG\} = 1$  if and only if  $BG$  is stably rational?

2) (Talpo - Vistoli) If  $G$  is connected, is it true that  $\{BG\}\{G\} = 1$  if and only if  $BG$  is stably rational?

1) true e.g. for  $\mu_n$ ,  $S_n$ , subgroups of  $GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  (when  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ), many counterexamples to Noether's problem.

2) true e.g. for

$G = GL_n, SL_n, Sp_{2n}, SO_n, PGL_3, G_2, Spin_7, Spin_8, \dots$



Assume  $k$  perfect,  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , and that there exists a biquadratic field extension  $K/k$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  be the distinct quadratic subextensions of  $K/k$ .

Let  $T := R_{E_1 \times E_2/k}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  (a  $k$ -torus of rank 3), and let  $A := T[2]$  be the 2-torsion subgroup of  $T$ .

Note that  $T \times_k \bar{k} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{k}}^3$  and  $A \times_k \bar{k} \cong \mu_{2,\bar{k}}^3$ .

## Theorem (S.)

- 1)  $BA$  is stably rational and  $\{BA\} \neq 1$ .
- 2)  $BT$  is stably rational and  $\{BT\}\{T\} \neq 1$ .

Questions 1) and 2) remain open in the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed.

(When  $k = \bar{k}$  and  $G$  is connected, in all known examples  $BG$  is stably rational.)

# Sketch of proof

Use cut-and-paste methods and short exact sequences to show that  $\{BA\} = \{BT\}\{T\}$ , and that (1) and (2) are equivalent to an equality of the form

$$(*) \quad (\{K\} - \{E_1\} - \{E_2\} - \{E_3\} + 2)f(\mathbb{L}) = 0 \in K_0(\text{Var}_k),$$

where  $f(\mathbb{L})$  is a product of  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{L}^n - 1$ .

Let  $R$  be the abelian group generated by classes  $[M]$  of finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear representations  $M$  of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ , modulo  $[M \oplus N] = [M] + [N]$ . There is a ring homomorphism  $K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow R[t]$  such that for all smooth projective  $X$ :

$$\{X\} \mapsto \sum_i [H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{F}_2)] t^i.$$

If  $\text{char } k = 0$ , use Bittner presentation (weak factorization). If  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ :  $K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow K_0(\text{DM}_{gm}(k; \mathbb{F}_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(\text{Chow}(k; \mathbb{F}_2))$ , using work of Bondarko. Apply this homomorphism to  $(*)$ .

$$(*) \quad (\{K\} - \{E_1\} - \{E_2\} - \{E_3\} + 2)f(\mathbb{L}) = 0.$$

Let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K/k) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ , and  $\Gamma_i = \text{Gal}(K/E_i)$ . Get:

$$([\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma]] - \sum_i [\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma/\Gamma_i]] + 2[\mathbb{F}_2])f(t^2) = 0$$

in  $R[t]$ . Looking at the leading term in  $t$  yields

$$[\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma] \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^2] = [\oplus_i \mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma/\Gamma_i]].$$

As a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $R$  is freely generated by  $\{M, M \text{ indecomposable}\}$ , hence

$$\mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma] \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^2 \simeq \oplus_i \mathbb{F}_2[\Gamma/\Gamma_i],$$

which is impossible by Krull-Schmidt Theorem.

# Unramified $H^3$ and integral Hodge Conjecture

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $V$  be a faithful complex representation of  $G$ .

## Theorem (Ekedahl)

*If  $\mathrm{Br}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}(V)^G) \neq 0$ , then  $\{BG\} \neq 1$  in  $K_0(\mathrm{Stacks}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

## Theorem (S.)

*If  $H_{nr}^3(\mathbb{C}(V)^G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ , then  $\{BG\} \neq 1$  in  $K_0(\mathrm{Stacks}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .*

The main ingredient is the work of Colliot-Thélène and Voisin relating  $H_{nr}^3$  to the integral Hodge question for cycles of codimension 2.

Combining this with work of Peyre, we find  $G$  such that  $\{BG\} \neq 1$  and  $\mathrm{Br}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}(V)^G) = 0$ .

# Sketch of Proof

- If  $X$  is a smooth projective complex variety and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $Z^{2i}(X) := H^{2i}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{i,i}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{C}) / \{\text{alg. classes}\}$ ,  
and  $Z_{2i}(X) := Z^{2 \dim(X) - 2i}(X)$ .
- $K_0(\text{Ab})$  free abelian group generated by isom. classes of fin. gen. abelian groups, modulo  $[M \oplus N] = [M] + [N]$ ,
- $\hat{K}_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  completion of  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$  wrt dimension filtration:  $\{Y_m\} / \mathbb{L}^m \rightarrow 0$  if  $\dim(Y_m) - m \rightarrow -\infty$ . There are natural maps  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Stacks}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \hat{K}_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .
- $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $Z_{2i} : \hat{K}_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Ab})$  (additive, cont.) such that if  $X$  is smooth projective  $Z_{2i}(\{X\} / \mathbb{L}^m) = Z_{2i+2m}(X)$ .
- $\{BG\} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \{V^m / G\} \mathbb{L}^{-m \dim(V)}$ .

Let  $m \gg 0$ . There is  $X \sim_{\text{bir}} V^m / G$  smooth projective such that  $\{V^m / G\} = \{X\} + (\text{smaller dim.})$ . We obtain

$$Z_{-4}(\{BG\}) = [Z^4(X)] = [H_{\text{nr}}^3(\mathbb{C}(V)^G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})].$$

The second = holds by a theorem of Colliot-Thélène and Voisin.

# The Mixed Tate property

A motive  $M \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})$  is *mixed Tate* if it belongs to the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of  $\mathrm{DM}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})$  closed under direct sums in  $\mathrm{DM}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})$  and containing  $M^c(\mathbb{A}^n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Totaro defined the compactly supported motive  $M^c(BG) \in \mathrm{DM}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})$ .

## Question (Totaro)

*Is it true that  $M^c(BG)$  is mixed Tate if and only if  $\{BG\} = 1$  in  $K_0(\mathrm{Stacks}_{\mathbb{C}})$ ?*

# The Mixed Tate property

For every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , Ekedahl defined a group homomorphism

$$e_i : K_0(\text{Stacks}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Ab}), \quad \{X\}/\mathbb{L}^m \mapsto [H^{i+2m}(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})],$$

where  $X$  is smooth and projective. We have  $e_0(\{BG\}) = [\mathbb{Z}]$  and  $e_2(\{BG\}) = [\text{Br}_{nr}(\mathbb{C}(V)^G)]$ . If  $\{BG\} = 1$ , then  $e_i(\{BG\}) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ .

## Theorem (S.)

*If  $M^c(BG)$  is mixed Tate, then  $e_i(\{BG\}) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ .*

Idea: if  $M^c(BG)$  is mixed Tate, so is  $M^c(\text{GL}_n/G)$ , hence  $[M^c(\text{GL}_n/G)] \in K_0(\text{DM}_{gm}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}))$  is a rational function of  $\mathbb{L}$ . We have  $\{BG\} = \{\text{GL}_n/G\}\{B\text{GL}_n\}$ . By Bondarko

$$\nu : K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow K_0(\text{DM}_{gm}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(\text{Chow}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}))$$

and so  $\nu(\{BG\})$  is a rat. function of  $\mathbb{L}$ , hence  $\nu(\{BG\}) = 1$ . Conclude by showing that the  $e_i$  factor through  $\nu$ .

Thank you!

Thank you for your attention!